**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

DEC 28 2007

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VIRGINIO CHAVEZ-SANTIAGO,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY,\*\* Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72261

Agency No. A79-769-637

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 20, 2007\*\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Michael B. Mukasey is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto R. Gonzales, as Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Virginio Chavez-Santiago seeks review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals upholding an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying his application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary determination that Chavez-Santiago failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003). We do not consider Chavez-Santiago's contention regarding his criminal convictions, because his failure to establish hardship is dispositive.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.